(1) (B) CHHCR

IN THE HIGH COURT OF CHHATTISGARH AT BILASPUR

WRIT PETITION NO. 3166 /2003

PETITIONER

Harinarayan Chourasiya S/o Late Sri Sheetal Prasad Chourasiya aged about 58 yrs, Cast - O.B.C., Occu – Section-Officer, C.G.M.S.M. Board, Permanent Address – H.N.61, Galla Bazar, Jahangirabad Bhopal (M.P.)
Present Address – G-3, C.G.H.S. Board Premises, Pension Bada Raipur (C.G.)

P.R. No. 29/3/03 Presented by Shri P.S. Tiwari dated 26.09.03

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS

1. Union of India thorough Secretary Dept. Of Personnel & Training, Govt. Of India New Delhi
2. State of Chhattisgarh through Secretary School Education, D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
3. Secretary Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board D.K.S. Bhawan Raipur (C.G.)
4. Secretary M.P. Higher Secondary Board Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (M.P.)
5. State of M..P. through Secretary School Education Vallabh Bhawan, Bhopal (C.G)

RECEIVED COPY. 26/9/03 CLERK TO A.G. BILASPUR

Copy received 26.9.03 (V.V.S. Murthy)

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA IN THE NATURE OF MANDAMUS AND CERTIORARI.

(1) **PARTICULARS ABOUT THE PETITIONER:**

The details of the Petitioner are shown in the cause title.

(2) **PARTICULARS ABOUT THE RESPONDENTS :**

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक WP 3166/03 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

15-11-2003

Smt. Hamida Siddique, counsel for the petitioner.

Shri Suresh Adiraju, Advocate appears on behalf of Shri V.V.S. Murthy, for Union of India.

Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate for the State.

Heard.

The case of the petitioner is that the petitioner is presently working against the post of Section Officer at Raipur in Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board and the petitioner's wife is also serving in Govt. Girls Primary School, Bhopal and she has been finally allocated to the State of M.P. as per her option. The petitioner's entire family including children is/are residing at Bhopal. Children are studying at Bhopal. The Madhya Pradesh Higher Secondary Board has been bifurcated and Chhattisgarh Higher Secondary Board came into existence and a tentative final allocation list was prepared by the State of M.P. on 3-1-2002 and the same was prepared ignoring the guidelines issued by the Govt. of M.P. on 22-4-2001 and the petitioner has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh.

One month's time was allowed to make a representation. The petitioner made a representation stating all the facts of his case, but the representation of the petitioner was not considered and without considering his case he has been allocated to the State of Chhattisgarh. Counsel for the petitioner submits that case of one of the employees namely Jamna Prasad Halba has already been considered. Counsel further submits that the

XI-HC-22

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

48

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक ..... सन् 200

..... विरुद्ध .....

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश

8-2-

petitioner may be allowed to make a detailed representation before respondent No.1 to 5 and respondent No. 1 to 5 may be directed to decide the representation of the petitioner as early as possible to which the learned counsel for the respondents has no objection.

Having heard the learned counsel for the parties and having regard to the facts and circumstances of the case, the petitioner is allowed to make a detailed representation within a period of one month from today raising all his grievances before respondent No. 1 to 5 and in turn respondent No.1 to 5 shall decide the representation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of the representation in an objective manner and shall pass reasoned order.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands disposed of.

Consequently, I.A.No.9338/2003 also stands disposed of.

Parties are entitled for certified copy of this order.

Sd/-
L.C.BHADOO
Judge

Barred

[पीछे देखिये